1	LAS VEGAS-CLARK COUNTY LIBRARY DISTRICT			
2	FOUNDATION BOARD OF TRUSTEES MEETING VIA ZOOM			
3	FRIDAY, JULY 18, 2025			
4	* * * * * * * *			
5	[Meeting began at 12:03 p.m.]			
6	CHAIR ORTIZ: Good afternoon. This is Felipe Ortiz.			
7	Today is Friday, July 18th, 2025. It's 12:03 p.m. It's Las Vegas-Clark			
8	County Library District Foundation Meeting via Zoom. We have an			
9	agenda. Let's please call roll call.			
10	MS. WEST: Yes, good morning or good afternoon,			
11	everyone, I should say.			
12	Chair Felipe Ortiz.			
13	CHAIR ORTIZ: Here.			
14	MS. WEST: Vice-Chair Michelle Sanders.			
15	[No heard response.]			
16	MS. WEST: Secretary Nicole Rogers.			
17	SECRETARY ROGERS: Here.			
18	MS. WEST: Treasurer Fred James.			
19	TREASURER JAMES: Here.			
20	MS. WEST: Director Pamela Graham.			
21	[No heard response.]			
22	MS. WEST: Director Shannon Bilbray-Axelrod.			
23	[No heard response.]			
24	MS. WEST: Director Vicki Gaska.			
25	DIRECTOR GASKA: Here.			

1	MS. WEST: Director Jorge Cervantes.
2	DIRECTOR CERVANTES: Here.
3	MS. WEST: Ex Officio Director Kelvin Watson.
4	[No heard response.]
5	CHAIR ORTIZ: Okay. And I do see Michelle online and
6	Ms. Shannon Bilbray-Axelrod will be joining us shortly.
7	MS. WEST: Okay. I will mark Michelle Sanders present.
8	We have a quorum, Chair.
9	CHAIR ORTIZ: Thank you. Thank you very much. We'll
10	try not to keep this too long. An agenda was sent out. Did
11	everybody have an opportunity to look at the agenda?
12	If so, I will entertain a motion to approve the agenda.
13	DIRECTOR CERVANTES: Motion to approve the agenda.
14	CHAIR ORTIZ: Is there a second?
15	TREASURER JAMES: Second.
16	CHAIR ORTIZ: Okay. All in favor?
17	[ALL BOARD MEMBERS WERE IN AGREEMENT]
18	CHAIR ORTIZ: Anybody opposed?
19	[No heard response.]
20	Okay. Motion carries.
21	And then I saw a note that Tamar is also Tamar Hoapili?
22	Did I pronounce that correctly? She is on the phone also Board
23	Member. Thank you so much.
24	DIRECTOR HOAPILI: Thank you, Chair.
25	CHAIR ORTIZ: Okay. Today, proposed agenda, we have

discussion and possible Board action to adopt Resolution 2025-01 related to New Markets Tax Credits in Nevada and Nevada New Market Jobs Act transactions with the West Las Vegas Library project.

And if I may go back a little bit, does anybody have any public comments? The public comment for the Foundation shall be limited to 45 minutes. If there's any remarks from the public, it will be limited to three. Lashea, is there any public comment before we start?

MS. WEST: There is no public comment, Chair.

CHAIR ORTIZ: Okay. The Minutes will reflect that there has not been any request for public comment.

Continuing on. Floresto, are you doing this presentation, sir?

MR. CABIAS: Yes, that's correct, Mr. Chair.

CHAIR ORTIZ: Thank you. Please proceed.

MR. CABIAS: All right. Thanks, again, everyone for convening on such short notice and so quickly. For the record, my name is Floresto Cabias, the Library District's Chief Financial Officer, and I'm here to present an item related to the West Las Vegas Library project and the financing mechanisms we're pursuing in connection with that project.

For the benefit of new directors, I'll provide a brief overview of the project financing. The Library District has been actively working to secure funding through both the Federal New

Markets Tax Credit Program and at the state level, Nevada New Markets Jobs Act Program. Aand both of these programs are specifically designed to provide investment incentives in low income or economically distressed communities, which includes the Historic Westside. The funds that we receive through these programs will directly support construction costs for the new West Las Vegas Library Branch.

Back in November 2024, the Foundation Board adopted a resolution authorizing participation in these programs and since then, the structure of the transaction has changed due to different policy requirements and approval considerations among the participating investor parties. Here that would be Chase Bank and U.S. Bank.

To move the project forward, we had to modify the structure originally envisioned to reflect those changes. And to allow the Foundation to continue supporting the Library District in implementing the transactions, we're asking the Foundation Board today to adopt the resolution that's before you. The resolution would authorize the necessary actions on the Foundation's part to facilitate and support the successful implementation of these programs for the benefit of the project.

Also attending here today is Troy Villafara from Crescent Growth Capital who are our consultants facilitating these transactions. And also here is Barry Burns with Kutak Rock. Kutak Rock is the law firm serving as special counsel for the transactions.

They're both experts in this space and have been supporting the Library District and the Foundation for at least eight years now, and they've helped to raise over 16 million dollars that helped build three different library branches, including the West Las Vegas Library project.

And we're working on another five million dollars now, which is why, again, we're bringing these resolutions to the Foundation Board for adoption so we can proceed. I'll let Mr. Villafarra give you more details with respect to the current status of the funding transactions and the reason we have new resolutions for your review.

Troy, I'll turn it over to you.

MR. VILLAFARRA: Thank you, Floresto and thank you, everyone for entertaining us this afternoon with this approval request.

So in terms of the status, this has been a long road as you probably are aware and kudos to Floresto and his staff for making it as efficient as possible given the circumstances which include a number of different federal and state CDE parties and the transaction where we're also coordinating with the participants from the prior transaction where Chase was the investor and there were separate CDEs.

Nonetheless, we're happy to report that we are -- we appear to be getting very close to closing, which is of course why we're here today. And in fact, we had to meet a deadline yesterday

which was to provide all of the key diligence and documentation for the benefit of LDCIC, the federal CDE in this transaction so they could get their approvals, I believe at a board meeting today or they had a deadline of yesterday at least. And we did meet that deadline, which is a very good indicator of where we are; that -- meeting that deadline means that we are very close in terms of being able to close this transaction. I think we're still targeting the end of the month, but at this point I don't see any major slippage in that target.

In terms of just background, in terms of where we started versus where we are ending up, as Floresto mentioned, we started with both federal allocation and state allocation and along the way, we had to significantly reduce the amount of state allocation we were able to incorporate into the transaction. And the reason for that is that we had always contemplated that about 8 of the 13 State CDEs each contributing about 2.2 million dollars of state allocation would be leveraged through the prior federal transaction, which included Chase as the investor and three other CDE -- Federal CDEs.

Ultimately, that requires U.S. Bank and the current transaction to work very closely with Chase on the prior transaction and in some ways agree in advance as to what might -- what would have to happen in the event that there was ever a foreclosure and a need for redeployment. And the -- we all understand that that scenario is very unlikely. We don't anticipate that happening, we never do, but as investors with, you know, management and Board

oversight, they have to address all of the theoretical risks.

And the problem is that having the new state funds go through the prior deal with Chase would require that Chase limit the -- their ability to redeploy and salvage their credits in the event of such a drastic scenario. So it wasn't a new revelation, it was -- that risk was known and, you know, considered by all parties on the front end, but unfortunately it took a very long time for them to come to the conclusion that they just could not make those concessions.

The only the other thing I'll mention is that when we put this transaction together, we knew about these challenges and it goes back to really when we closed the first transaction with Chase, there was, you know, one thing that could have made this work better let's say would be if U.S. Bank had done both transactions, this issue would have gone away.

But it's important to consider and remember that when we put that deal together with Chase, we didn't know for sure there was going to be a state program. We thought there might be and we were contemplating, but Chase offered a very attractive, you know, proposal in terms of the pricing they were paying for the credits as well as putting their own federal allocation in which you may know is worth -- is a premium considered versus allocation from third party CDEs because they don't charge fees on it.

But the other thing to keep in mind is that Chase also was very active in recruiting the other CDEs that we identified and

helped us bring those guys on board and get them over the finish line. So I think it's clear that there really wasn't a choice to try and get U.S. Bank to do that transaction because much of what the transaction became was because of Chase itself. So in that way, you know there's not really much we could have reconsidered in hindsight; it just didn't end up working out. But we still had the benefit of a very strong transaction with I think about -- yeah, it was about -- we did five million dollars or six million dollars of net benefit in the very first closing. And so you know we're happy to have that behind us. This transaction is still a very strong transaction as well.

Our federal, we're looking at about 3.1 million of estimated net benefit. And while it's reduced, we're still looking at another three million -- two million -- two and a half million, let's say of the net benefit from the remaining state that's still in the transaction. So about five million and change in combined net benefit from this transaction at the end of the day.

MR. CABIAS: All right. Thanks, Troy.

Mr. Chair, we're ready for any questions.

CHAIR ORTIZ: Okay. Thank you very much, Mr. Villafarra. Thank you, Barry. Thank you.

I'm going to go around the room real quick if you have any questions.

Vice-Chair Michelle Sanders, do you have any questions or comments or concerns?

questions, comments or concerns. Just very excited about this library. One more that we are building in our community. So thank you everyone for this and for your dedication to our community.

CHAIR ORTIZ: Thank you very much.

Fred, the Treasurer, do you have any questions, comments or concerns?

TREASURER JAMES: Yes, I do. I just have a quick question. What's the total amount of this particular contract with the state and what was the contract with the national level? So what was the total amount of the New Market Tax Credit that the Foundation was able to help the District receive, Floresto?

MR. CABIAS: Yeah. And the first transaction that closed last year, that was 6 to 6.5 million dollars for the West Las Vegas project. And as Troy broke it down, it's about three million dollars in state funding, Troy and another two million dollars in federal for a total of a little over five million dollars in net benefit that we're working on closing now. And we're shooting, again, for the end of the month for that closing date. But with the five million in the current transaction we're closing plus around the 6.5, that will be a total of about 11.5 million dollars in funds raised for the West Las Vegas project.

TREASURER JAMES: Okay. Thank you. And I just wanted to be the -- our people on the Foundation to realize that that is a significant amount that goes toward the construction of the West Las Vegas Library, and we have -- and our portion and signing

of this is very important. We play a very key role in this because we have a separate contract with the Library District to be able to handle this transaction. So we play a significant part in the Library District being able to attain, according to Floresto, 11 million dollars. I just wanted to make sure that was clear on the record.

CHAIR ORTIZ: Thank you, Director Fred.

I just have a real quick comment. First of all, thank you very much Floresto and Kutak Rock and Mr. Villafarra,

I was really concerned when Congress was doing their budget. They did extend the New Markets Tax Credits; after December, they were going to expire. That's the first comment. I'm glad that Congress left that in there.

Secondly, money's getting tight. C-Tax, the combined tax collection is really getting smaller and smaller in our neck of the world and so in order to finish a project, you really need the big money. And so I'm really glad that we're able to get some state New Market Tax Credits money in there.

Convoluted transactions for the layman, but you know, again, we've got good people that have done this in the past for us. And Floresto, thank you very much. Really critical because then now they don't have to spend -- at the end of the day the Library District still continues to have zero debt. Is that correct, Floresto?

MR. CABIAS: Yes, that's correct, Mr. Chair.

CHAIR ORTIZ: And I say that because -- and I want the record to reflect is there's -- I believe there's probably not one

government entity with zero debt. This is a government entity with zero debt so, again, they're able to build another library.

And I'd like to double back and thank you, Jorge Cervantes, who I whined to and asked him to -- he was the, what do you call it, the city manager for the city of Las Vegas. And I said I need a larger parcel there where it's at. I need, I need, I need, I need, I need, I need. If you can pay for the -- buy the West Las Vegas library back. And so he came through. I mean, they -- he had to look out for the city of Las Vegas, but he did a tremendous job in helping move this project forward. A lot of people to thank, but he's probably at the top of the list because I don't think this would have been done without the city of Las Vegas at the times' assistance, help, property, and confirmation of approval.

But having said that this -- there's going to be probably one more or maybe -- one more meeting I guess, Floresto, on this, so we'll give you about a week's notice. And then I really -- that's good money. So now the District doesn't have to spend that money that they had saved to spend to build this project.

And what is the total project, Floresto, that's the question, for West Las Vegas?

MR. CABIAS: The total project cost for West Las Vegas will be a little over 40 million dollars. So yeah, these funds are going a long way to supporting those construction costs and all around the board that it would have been difficult to open this library branch at the level, you know, we had intended from

programming, services, the personnel that will be operating all these programs and services. It gives the library branch more space to serve more of the community in a better way. So again, thank you, Mr. Chair, and all of the directors for your support in raising these funds.

TREASURER JAMES: Chair?

CHAIR ORTIZ: Thank you.

TREASURER JAMES: Chair?

CHAIR ORTIZ: Go ahead, Fred.

TREASURER JAMES: Quick question. Floresto, will we -will this be two different contracts under -- when -- on the
transaction on the first one and then you have the second one with
the state where -- you know, the -- between the two agreements
where there would be transaction for two separate transactions or
will it just be one?

MR. CABIAS: This is a separate transaction from the original one last year, which was solely federal. And so this is another transaction that we'll be combining or raising funds from both federal and state funds.

TREASURER JAMES: Okay.

MR. CABIAS: Troy, do you have any other comments about that?

TREASURER JAMES: So what would happen is on the transactions when payment comes in, I'll have two different types of payments on the West Las Vegas building; right? Two different --

MR. CABIAS: [Indiscernible; overlapping voices.]

MR. VILLAFARRA: Right. The foundation -- yeah, the lev -- there are two different leverage loans for the two different transactions.

TREASURER JAMES: Will that be two different QALICBs or will it be the same QALICB?

MR. VILLAFARRA: It is the same QALICB.

TREASURER JAMES: Okay.

MR. BURNS: Yeah. And just one clarification point there because of the state structure you -- on this second financing, Fred, you will actually be seeing a total of four leveraged loans that you'll be receiving payments on since there are four different investment funds, three of which are state investment funds, and one is a federal. So as cited in the resolution, the Foundation is authorizing a total of four leveraged loans for this deal, so you'll have five total coming in, combined.

TREASURER JAMES: Okay. Thank you very much. That was -- that's what I needed. Thank you, Barry.

MR. BURNS: Sure.

CHAIR ORTIZ: Thank you, Fred.

I'll conclude with this, for anybody that's new, this started seven years ago, Keiba and myself, and I'm glad to get it across the finish line. But for you, what it means for you is you're -- if you vote for this, you're approving continuation of 40 million dollars in transactions that was -- actually it was approved before, but this will

1	I'm ready.		
2	All right, public comment. Are there is there any public		
3	comment, Ms. Lashea?		
4	MS. WEST: There's no public comment, Chair.		
5	CHAIR ORTIZ: No public comments at this time.		
6	I do have one announcement. We'll probably have one		
7	more quick meeting, but our next regular scheduled meeting is		
8	Thursday, August 14th, okay. So if you'll mark your calendars.		
9	Having heard no further comments, no further questions,		
10	no further public comment, I'll have entertain a motion to adjourn		
11	the meeting. Who will make that motion?		
12	VICE-CHAIR SANDERS: Motion to adjourn.		
13	CHAIR ORTIZ: Motion to adjourn by Vice-Chair Sanders.		
14	Is there a second?		
15	TREASURER JAMES: Second.		
16	CHAIR ORTIZ: Second by Fred.		
17	All in favor?		
18	[ALL BOARD MEMBERS WERE IN AGREEMENT]		
19	CHAIR ORTIZ: Thank you. Motion approved. Everybody		
20	have a good day. One more time before August 14th, and then		
21	we'll see everybody then and then on August 14th. Thank you so		
22	much.		
23	[Meeting concluded at 12:26 p.m.]		
24	* * * Total Meeting Run Time – 23 minutes* * *		
25			

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled meeting to the best of my ability.

Brittany Mangelson Mangelson Transcribing