

**MINUTES
LAS VEGAS-CLARK COUNTY LIBRARY DISTRICT
BOARD OF TRUSTEES' MEETING
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA
December 14, 2023
(Approved on February 15, 2024)**

The Board of Trustees of the Las Vegas-Clark County Library District met in regular session at the East Las Vegas Library, Las Vegas, Nevada, at 5:00 p.m., Thursday, December 14, 2023.

Present:

Board:	N. Waugh, Chair	K. Rogers
	K. Whiteley	E. Foyt
	J. Jiron	F. Ortiz
	K. Benavidez	K. Dutkowski
	E. Sanchez	C. Shelton

Counsel: G. Welt

Absent: None

Staff: Floresto Cabias, Chief Financial Officer
Numerous Staff

Guests: None

The meeting began at 5:01 p.m.

Roll Call (Item I.) All members listed present above represent a quorum. Appendix A. Chair Waugh led attendees in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Public Comment (Item II.) Tamar Hoapili, Chair of the LVCCLD Foundation commented on Agenda Item VIII.B.1:

Trustees, this is the first time that I remember that I've heard of the District looking to hire a capital campaign and naming rights consultants. And while I think this is definitely the next best step, I believe at the Foundation, let's be involved in this discussion regarding the campaign consultant because we're the fundraising arm for the District, right? And this is our mission and our purpose. And as you know, many of us were there, the Foundation Board members and Trustees had a retreat this past Monday, and we discussed working together to take our libraries and Foundation to the next level. We discussed ways on how to grow, better our relationship, have the Foundation Strategic Plan work in conjunction with the District's Strategic Playbook, and actually we want to look to hire an executive director for the Foundation, and just so much more. We just discussed so much more at this retreat. So it was exciting to see the growth and the potential for the Foundation and the strength of the District and the Foundation

together. Definitely together. So I just wanted to share that, you know, I'd like for us to discuss on this before you move forward on this Board agenda item that I saw today.

**Agenda
(Item III.)**

Trustee Benavidez made a motion to accept the proposed agenda. Trustee Sanchez second the motion.

There was no opposition and the motion carried.

**Approval of Proposed Minutes of the Executive Committee Meeting on November 1, 2023 and Regular Board Meeting on November 9, 2023
(Item IV.)**

Trustee Sanchez made a motion to approve the Minutes of the Executive Committee Meeting on November 1, 2023 and Regular Board Meeting on November 9, 2023. Trustee Jiron second the motion.

There was no opposition and the motion carried.

**Chair's Report
(Item V.)**

Chair Waugh asked the Board on their thoughts of moving the April board meeting from Clark County to Mesquite Library and to determine what time will work.

Trustee Sanchez said she was open to whatever works for everyone.

Trustee Foyt said she was neutral.

Trustee Shelton said he was flexible.

Trustee Benavidez said she was unable to commit now because her schedule may change, but will attend if she's available.

Trustee Whiteley also said she is unable to commit due to her schedule but would love to be in Mesquite.

Chair Waugh scheduled the April board meeting for April 11, 2023 at 5:00pm at Mesquite Library.

Chair Waugh also congratulated Al Prendergast, Chief Information Officer for winning a tech award. Chair Waugh also congratulated Executive Director Kelvin Watson on receiving his MBA.

**Library Reports
(Item VI.)**

**Executive Director's Report
(Item VI.A.)**

No questions.

**Library Operations, Security Reports and Monthly Statistics
(Item VI.A.1.a)**

Chair Waugh highlighted that West Las Vegas has checked out 1100 of the Cox Wi-Fi cards.

**Branding and
Marketing Report and
Electronic Resources
Statistics
(Item VI.A.2.a.)**

No questions.

**Community
Engagement Report
and Monthly
Statistics
(Item VI.A.2.b.)**

Trustee Sanchez asked Matt McNally, Community Engagement Director to talk about the accreditation of the Adult Learning Program. Mr. McNally responded that the Adult Learning program runs a variety of different services, including English as a second language classes, adult basic education classes. They also run a GED program and a high school equivalency program commonly known as HSE. The difference of our career online high school program, which is another service that we offer, the student actually has the opportunity to attain a nationally accredited diploma. It may be recognized by the workforce of actually having the diploma as opposed to an equivalency. The career online high school program is typically suited for students who may be three to six credits short of having graduated high school originally. If there're students who have a lot less or a lot more credits to have to make up, then maybe some of those GED or HSE programs are probably more appropriate for them. Trustee Sanchez then asked a hypothetical questioning asking If she got her HSE and wanted to go to UNLV or UNR would she be able to do that. Mr. McNally replied that he isn't familiar enough with the standards of the higher university systems to be able to answer.

Trustee Ortiz asked for a report of the Comic Book Festival at the next meeting. He is requesting to know how many people attended, the cost, and rate of return.

**Development and
Planning Report
(Item VI.A.2.c.)**

Trustee Sanchez asked for JoAnn Prevetti, Development Director to go over the report for grant funding that was submitted in the Development Report from September – December for Nevada Partners, F1, and Centene Corporation, also about the \$10 million federal grants. Ms. Prevetti responded that Nevada Partners looking at partnering and doing youth programming at Nevada Partners, where Lenika, who is the branch manager at West Las Vegas. They have a specific contact there that works with the grants and that grant will be for funding for West Las Vegas. For the F1, they are interested in possibly funding a mobile tech van where it would be like a bus that would go into communities, like a mobile library for branches with STEM programming. They're really not interested in putting their name on it, in a big sponsorship way, but it's something that they're potentially looking at where they would fund the bus and the components and just have a little sign outside that would say powered by F1. With Centene Corporation the District submitted funding for the mobile phones for people experiencing homelessness which would be similar to the program from March of 2022. In reference to the \$10 million dollars it is federal appropriation funding. Every year the federal government allots its Congress people, congressmen and women, 15 funding opportunities that they can submit for federal appropriation funding for the government to review and award the funding to the Congress person and then their Congress person will award the funding

based on what they received and the District submitted \$10 million funding appropriation through Congressman Horsford's office but haven't received official notification. The \$10 million would fund the West Las Vegas Library. Trustee Sanchez also asked about the meeting and collaboration with the Raiders. Ms. Prevetti stated that the Raiders are interested in being long-term committed partners with the Library District on various levels. The details of the partnership have not been ironed out.

**Information
Technology Report
(Item VI.A.2.d.)**

Trustee Ortiz asked to get a written report from Al Prendergast, Chief Information Officer, for the next meeting about the fiber optic grant that the library District received. Mr. Prendergast responded that there wasn't much to report yet and that information was in his report about the construction. Trustee Ortiz then said he was asking for metrics, deadlines, connectivity start, and cost.

**Financial Services
Report
(Item VI.A.3.a.)**

No questions.

**General Services
Report
(Item VI.A.3.b.)**

Trustee Ortiz asked John Vino, General Services Director what will the cost of West Las Vegas be. Mr. Vino responded 35 million which is based on the estimates from independent estimators. Trustee Ortiz also asked if there was a new date for the groundbreaking. Mr. Vino responded that they are looking at the last week in February. Waiting for the availability on the speakers.

Trustee Ortiz asked Floresto Cabias, Chief Financial Officer if the District has enough money to pay for it in cash. Mr. Cabias responded that the money is available in reserves depending on the funds raised from New Markets Capital Campaign- any funds that are currently held in reserve could be reallocated. Without the additional support from these other sources, the District does have funds available if needed but will put a strain on other capital programs.

**Human Resources
Report
(Item VI.A.3.c.)**

No questions.

**Unfinished Business
(Item VII.)**

None.

**New Business
(Item VIII.)**

**Consent Agenda
(Item VIII.A.)**

None.

**Regular Agenda
(Item VIII.B.)**

Discussion and possible Board action regarding contract award for Capital Campaign & Naming Rights Consultant Services, whose responsibility will include development of a capital campaign, pursuing all sectors and available sources including philanthropic donors, sponsorships, and to identify naming rights opportunities in accordance with the District's Naming Opportunities Policy as approved September 8th, 2022. (Item VIII.B.1.)

[Mr. Vino read Agenda Item # VIII.B.1 into the record.]

Trustee Foyt commented that it sounded like very good criteria and had fairly good responses. She also asked when they are coming to the board if this is approved. Mr. Vino responded that it was a good response and the final two candidates were close. Schafer had more experience within Las Vegas. She had part of the questioning, especially in the interview, was to relate the Library District specifically to the market and how that approach would be brought forward. He said that if the item was approved, they'll start with contract services and bring her on pretty quickly. This goes through the Board's Naming Rights Committee that needs to be established through the naming rights policy and they are excited to get the Foundation involved. Mr. Vino continued to explain:

(Copied from Transcript)

So, you know, when we look at \$40 million for West Las Vegas, that starts with the sale of West Las Vegas. It starts with the naming rights, brings us to 10, 10 in federal funding like you brought up, right, is 20. So we still have 20 to capture and so that there's -- that gets broken down into philanthropy, gets into naming rights, which we really haven't ventured into. But that is a very complicated field. The Board's direction, when we bring that, has to be through the Executive Director, you know, where we have to provide proposals, where we have to show you the market, we have to show you the equivalent. So even if there -- there's a lot to be brought forward to your Naming Committee, who then brings it to the full Board, right. So -- you know, and then as you get into the philanthropy aspect of it and its sponsorships, we want to be very aggressive with this and I think it takes, you know -- and that's why we're bringing this forward to the Board. It's not -- it's not really an expensive contract in terms of, you know, some of the other stuff we're doing for West Vegas and I think we can move on it very quickly and then be successful with. We'll know relatively quickly, so it's not something that we would renew if -- if it's not successful, you know, so it's a -- it's a monthly billing based on hours, it's pretty transparent and everything would be brought through the Board on a regular basis because everything has to go through this Board. So it would be regular updates and, you know, through the Foundation, of course, would be involved. The naming rights through the Board and through the way this is worded, what certainly authorizes, you know, the Foundation to be involved at every step of the way. We'd be happy to work with them. Again, though they would come up with their plan working with the District and then that also would need Board approval through the Naming Committee as outlined and approved by this Board. So we have a lot in front of us to do, but I think it's all doable, we just need to, you know, get it, get it rolling. And, you know, when I started researching this, I don't think there's a major building, a library building going on in the country that doesn't have a capital campaign associated with and they've been very successful. It's not uncommon for the number we're looking for, 20 million. Saint Louis raised 20 million. Missoula, who was named Library of the Year for 2022, raised \$36 million. These are smaller markets than we are so I think it's a matter of, you know, just getting the word out. And I think we're, as a Library District, are going to be hitting it at the right time. We're -- you

know, the District, our project is well known through the media. There's a lot of -- there's a lot of buzz around our project. I mean, Floresto has pretty much sold out on New Market Tax Credits in record time. You know, everybody wants to be involved and we just have to keep that momentum going. And as I said, I'm excited to get a team together -- you know, we'll -- us, the Foundation, the capital markets, you know, consultant and brainstorm and come up with a plan. I think overall, it could be very successful.

(Copied from Transcript)

Trustee Ortiz commented- I love it. But I don't think we're ready to move today. One, there's no backup. Two, we require to provide the Board the principal, the list of principal who owns it? We've asked that every time, you've done that every time, there's nothing here. We don't have a copy of the contract. So, you know, it should have been in there, if we needed to get that done. And then I -- what I'm having heartburn -- I mean, I love the idea. From what I understand, and you can tell me that I'm reading it incorrectly, but they're going to work on the West Las Vegas Library to secure funding on any opportunity they have. You want the door named after you, hey give us some money, right? You want the outside wall, you want the showroom or the Art Room or whatever. But the way this reads it's a little bit disheartening as well as selected future projects. That's two different issues. Mr. VINO responded that if a capital campaign manager is hired and someone wants to donate \$10 million to Windmill Library, they would not turn it away. Trustee Ortiz responded that this is why they have a foundation that does that. Mr. VINO answered that the Board is specifically responsible for naming rights, not the Foundation.

(Heavy dialogue continues- copied from transcript)

TRUSTEE ORTIZ: So let's go back to what the -- my concern is. So what I'm hearing you say is that this particular group that you would like, that you put a bid out, you feel comfortable with them so that they can work strictly just on naming, and it could include other buildings, and that's all they're going to work on, right? They're not going to raise any money anywhere else.

MR. VINO: Well, no, it's certainly, --

TRUSTEE FOYT: No, that's not right.

MR. VINO: -- you know, it's -- this is, this is, it is a capital campaign. So it includes all aspects of anything that would be Board and able capital campaign. I think I mentioned philanthropy, sponsorships and again, --

TRUSTEE ORTIZ: Again, that's why we have a --

MR. VINO: -- she's supporting the District and the Foundation in that role, right? Not --

TRUSTEE ORTIZ: Yeah, I, well, I'm not trying to be argumentative.

MR. VINO: No, no.

TRUSTEE ORTIZ: Without having a contract, without having that specific writing, you know, I don't think we're ready to move. Not --

MR. VINO: I'm not sure we've never done a contract --

TRUSTEE SANCHEZ: I have a question.

MR. VINO: -- before approval by the Board.

TRUSTEE ORTIZ: Yeah, we have. In fact, most public Boards, we have to have transparency. When a contract is given out, the contracts in the back, every agency.

MR. VINO: And you're correct. I may have forgotten to include the -- the paper that shows -- you know, she's an LLC, but.

TRUSTEE SANCHEZ: I have a question.

CHAIR WAUGH: Trustee Sanchez.

TRUSTEE SANCHEZ: Typically, you know, when we are discussing a fiduciary line item and we know that the money is coming out of the General Fund, we usually have what -- you know, we have the RFP, we have the backup, we have materials and for some reason we don't have them at this meeting. And I think that it is very important to have these -- this back up before making a decision.

I understand that, you know, \$35 million for a capital campaign, \$800,000 for reading materials, potentially \$4 million for FF&E, that's a lot of money to build the West Las Vegas Library. And I understand that. But from a procedural matter, you are asking the Board to vote and spend \$110,000 of taxpayer money on something that we might not, like you said, we might not get what we think we're going to get out of it. We don't know.

And what's interesting is that at our last meeting, our CFO was actually talking about that. He had said to me, look, at the November 9th meeting, he had mentioned that, you know, he wants to maximize all sources of funds. And I believe that the Board wants to maximize all sources of funds. That includes federal appropriations, New Market Tax Credits, capital campaign, and naming rights. But, I feel because we are a public Board entrusted with public money, it is important that before I make a decision, I have all the information in the in the backup material.

And I was -- I'm a little surprised that we don't have that only because we usually -- like you said, we usually have it and, you know, things happen, that's fine. But that is a concern. I don't feel comfortable making a decision without having the backup for this.

Also, \$110,000 is a lot of money to me. And the one thing that the CFO said at our last meeting was he said, and I quote, there is --

CHAIR WAUGH: Trustee Sanchez, --

TRUSTEE SANCHEZ: Oh.

CHAIR WAUGH: -- if I could just interject really quick.

TRUSTEE SANCHEZ: Yeah.

CHAIR WAUGH: Where -- so we're on questions right now. Do you, --

TRUSTEE SANCHEZ: Yeah.

CHAIR WAUGH: -- do you have a question?

TRUSTEE SANCHEZ: Well, my question is, is that -- I think you answered it. There -- if we go forward with this, there's no guarantee.

MR. VINO: Well, it's a not to exceed that's billed monthly, so we're not -- we're not committing to any amount upfront. So we're only committing, we are agreeing to pay as you go based on -- completed work.

TRUSTEE SANCHEZ: Okay.

MR. VINO: I don't know if that helps, but --

TRUSTEE SANCHEZ: Okay.

MR. VINO: -- that's -- that's, you know, a lot like an attorney's fee, you have to bill monthly. You know, in terms of the value of the contract, most of the

proposals we saw required commission, which put this same contract in the neighborhood of 3 to \$4 million versus \$110,000. I don't have an excuse. I did forget to include the disclosure of ownerships. I must have thought it was attached to my agenda item, if it's not there.

TRUSTEE SANCHEZ: Yeah, it's fine. I just -- I'm just saying that, --

MR. VINO: No, it's just --

TRUSTEE SANCHEZ: -- that's our, that's our job. We don't have a lot of, we don't have a lot of tasks on this Board but one of them is the fiduciary responsibility and policies and so this definitely falls under that.

The other thing I want to ask is, why are we being asked to spend \$110,000 of taxpayer money on this issue when we have a Foundation that has a budget and they could pay for the contract and they could -- we would get a double win. The 110,000 wouldn't come from our General Fund and it would come from the donation from the book sales. I'm -- I didn't quite understand that reasoning because I think that's something that we should discuss as a Board.

CHAIR WAUGH: The one thing that I will point out is that our naming policy doesn't have a reference to the Foundation, so even if the Foundation had engaged in some of this work, ultimately, it all flows through us for --

TRUSTEE SANCHEZ: Right, right. The actual meaning would flow through you. But -- and we've had that before where the Library District, you know, yeah, that, yeah, right. Right. Part, yeah, that's what I'm saying is that the Library District would be responsible for that, but we've also had the Foundation pay for things. And I just think, you know, in this day and age, you know, I even read it in the CFO's report, you know, there could be a recession. And there is a potential for that.

It's -- it's there in black and white, and so I'm just trying to do this in a manner where we, the District, can save as much money as we can because we don't know and because there are no guarantees. And I feel that, you know, we had a retreat with the Foundation. They asked what they could do. I think this is something that we should talk to them about and see if they'd be willing to do this, because to me it makes sense. I know that \$110,000 doesn't seem like a lot to some people here, but to me, \$110,000 saved is \$110,000 that this District can use somewhere else. Thank you.

CHAIR WAUGH: Thank you. Trustee Shelton, I saw you had your hand up.

TRUSTEE SHELTON: Yeah, thank you. I have a couple of questions. The question that I have is, so the \$110,000, is it -- was it budgeted for a capital campaign or funding opportunities?

TRUSTEE FOYT: Hang on.

CHAIR WAUGH: Mr. Cabias is on his way.

TRUSTEE SHELTON: Oh, sorry.

MR. CABIAS: Good evening. Floresto Cabias, Chief Financial Officer for the record.

Trustee Shelton, that specific line item wasn't budgeted for, but we do have reserves also in the General Fund that are available for these types of impromptu expenses. When we decide there are activities that are important enough to the District, we ensure that there are funds available. So again, it wasn't specifically budgeted, but the budget can absorb this type of expenditure.

TRUSTEE SHELTON: Okay. Thank you very much. I appreciate that. And

also thanks for the report. Even though you did miss some things, I think that it was a very good report. And I'm ready to go with it. But the other question I have, too, from a Foundation perspective, I know that Tamar, my friend gave a great little presentation. We also want to make sure that we are lockstep with them moving forward. But I think that we have to understand as a Board, though, the Foundation is only one arm of Revenue Service, right? I mean that's one arm of funding opportunities. There's other ways that we can raise money.

Now together, of course, I think that it will work good, but I mean, let's separate it out. Here's the District. Here's the Foundation. The Foundation can also go after funds. I'm just saying it. It doesn't have to be lockstep as much as we maybe want it to be, but it also will help us, you know, actually diversify our funds. So that's my -- that's my thoughts.

TRUSTEE ORTIZ: Mr. Chairman, I had a question for Floresto.

CHAIR WAUGH: Could we do first rounds first?

TRUSTEE ORTIZ: Sure.

CHAIR WAUGH: Trustee Whiteley.

TRUSTEE TURNER WHITELEY: Is there any, I know it's impossible to project, to really project, so I won't make you marry any of these numbers, but did anyone give you a projection of what they felt like we could expect or could bring in, even on the low end?

MR. VINO: No.

TRUSTEE TURNER WHITELEY: Okay.

MR. VINO: No, we haven't, I mean, that's --

TRUSTEE TURNER WHITELEY: No problem.

MR. VINO: -- yeah, part of the budget.

TRUSTEE WHITELEY: Understanding that it's pay as you go, I would think they would not be interested in participating if they didn't think they were going to be able to get any working. Thank you.

CHAIR WAUGH: Trustee Benavidez.

TRUSTEE BENAVIDEZ: In the past when we've had consultants come in with or asking for approval, they usually come and give us a presentation as well. Are they here today?

MR. VINO: No, we didn't.

TRUSTEE BENAVIDEZ: Is there a reason why they're not?

MR. VINO: No, not really. Just, it wasn't a detailed -- I may have misread it, to be honest. We, you know, staff, the whole administration team was involved in this. It's kind of our project to bring forward to just help move it forward so.

CHAIR WAUGH: Thank you. So we'll do Trustee Ortiz and then Trustee Foyt.

TRUSTEE ORTIZ: Mr. Chairman, I love the project. I love the idea. I think West Las Vegas can help us raise money. That's not an issue for me. But gaming it out, being a nuts and bolts type of person, Mr. Cabias -- so let's assume we vote for this contract. This company goes out to get money. There's two ways to give money, those who want to just give you the money. They're not expecting a write off. If they're expecting a write off, it has to go to the Foundation because the library does not do write offs. So that's why they're involved. See where -- see where that, where we lose that?

TRUSTEE SANCHEZ: I have a question. Is it -- are? Yeah, go ahead, I'm

sorry.

TRUSTEE ORTIZ: So, so that -- that's really what I'm --

MR. CABIAS: A tax write off is what I think what you're referring to, Trustee Ortiz.

TRUSTEE ORTIZ: A tax write off.

MR. CABIAS: Yes.

TRUSTEE ORTIZ: So that's where I'm conflicted, right? Because if they go after money and I'm sure they'll do a good job. I'm not demeaning them. I'm sure they'll do a great job. But if there's a people that here's Raiders, Foundation take the money, we don't care. But there's other people that want to donate but they want their tax deduction, then that has to come through the Foundation. And so we already have a mechanism. So that's why I'm conflicted.

TRUSTEE SANCHEZ: They didn't say that. Where would the money go? If I donate to the campaign, if I donate to Schafer, LLC, where does the money go?

MR. CABIAS: The donation would be made directly to the Library District. And so going back to Trustee Ortiz's original question, the -- you're right, there is a benefit of a tax deduction if someone were to donate directly to the Foundation. However, the District is allowed to take gifts and has done so. The Foundation's own endowment of \$3 million was actually gifted to the District directly. And the District transferred those funds to the Foundation. Now there would be the tax benefit, but not all donors are interested in the tax benefit. Like I mentioned, the \$3 million that originally came to the District was with the understanding that there would be no tax deduction. We received other gifts, you know, pretty frequently directly to the Library District. And again, these are donors that are aware that there is no tax benefit, that are write off for it. So there is the opportunity to donate to the Foundation instead, should the donor seek a tax write off.

But again, we've seen that there have been very generous donors that have not been interested in a tax write off and just want to support the Library District, even directly.

TRUSTEE ORTIZ: So with all due respect, that's why it would have been good to have a contract and without having that contract. I'm just not prepared at this time. That -- that, you've answered my question and made my point. You're going to do a great job. They're going to go out and get money. But when there's a need to have a tax deduction, they have to use Foundation. And that that's not written anywhere. I don't see it. So I mean that's what's giving me heartburn. Thank you.

CHAIR WAUGH: So we go Trustee Foyt and then Trustee Sanchez.

TRUSTEE SHELTON: So that's when we work with the Foundation.

MR. VINO: Yeah. No money goes through the Schafer Company. I'm not, really -- they're a consultant, just like an architect or engineer. The money would be -- and this is almost a full time endeavor. I mean, that's one of the reasons I think it comes through staff and through the Board. I mean, even the naming rights policy, any money secured by the Foundation as soon as it's secured, per the Board's requirement, is to be turned over to the District, you know. So it's not just one, you know, this is really a whole, you know --

TRUSTEE FOYT: I'd like to speak. If we approve the contract this evening, there's -- I don't believe there's anything saying that the Foundation could not

give us the money for, to -- to fund the contract. So we could be done tonight.
TRUSTEE SANCHEZ: Say that again.

Trustee Rogers ask that all Trustees would be given an opportunity to ask questions. Chari Waugh agreed to go around.

Trustee Rogers asked Counsel Welt if he could clarify the procedure once the vote is approved. Counsel Welt responded that on occasion the proposal will be morphed into a contract and the Board may see some of that when the proposal is given but most of them will get approval of the concept and the contract will go through him. If there are any specifics the Board wants him to look at, such as conversations with the Foundation should the donor wish a tax deduction, then it will be included within the contract.

Trustee Dutkowski asked by if it will be detrimental to postponing it another month so that everyone has copies of the proposal. Mr. Vino responded that he's never attached an RFP to an agenda item but it is something he could do moving forward. Typically the disclosure agreement is included only. He also stated that the consultant doesn't have any input into the financial workings of how donations would be managed. That still goes through the Naming Committee and the Boards. They are strictly there to provide information and outlets for District staff, Foundation staff, and Board to just move the project forward.

Trustee Sanchez stated she would be more comfortable is they had the backup since they are spending taxpayer dollars when there is a Foundation that could pay for this. She says she doesn't know anything about the proves and who was picked and would like to have the information in front of her.

Trustee Ortiz made a motion to table the item till the next meeting. Trustee Benavidez second the motion.

Trustee Sanchez, Trustee Benavidez, Trustee Ortiz , and Trustee Dutkowski were in favor of the motion. Trustee Whiteley, Trustee Foyt, Trustee Rogers, Trustee Shelton, and Trustee Jiron opposed the motion and it did not carry.

Trustee Jiron made a motion to authorize staff to award a one-year contract for Capital Campaign & Naming Rights Consultant Services to development of a capital campaign, and a naming rights program for the District's new West Las Vegas Library, as well as selected future projects, to Schafer Company, LLC. in the amount not-to-exceed \$110,400, subject to final fee negotiations, and final review of contract by Counsel. Trustee Whiteley second the motion. Trustee Ortiz asked if this motion passes could the Board get a copy of the contract. Chair Waugh agreed.

Trustee Whitley asked that discussions for motions that it will benefit that the Board stick to questions during the questioning period because a lot of discussions were being made. She stated that in her opinion they are behind on capital campaign. She also stated the Board and Foundation had an incredible meeting and she's excited for where it's going. She thanked the

Chair of the LVCCLD Foundation for her great attitude. She stated the Trustees have a fiduciary duty to fund this very crucial library in West Las Vegas which includes getting the naming rights so people can be invested and involved.

Trustee Whiteley, Trustee Foyt, Trustee Rogers, Trustee Shelton, and Trustee Jiron were in favor of the motion.

Trustee Sanchez, Trustee Benavidez, Trustee Ortiz, and Trustee Dutkowski opposed the motion. The motion carried.

None.

**Executive Session
(Item IX.)**

**Announcements
(Item X.)**

The January Board meeting will be held on Thursday, January 11, 2023, at 5:00pm. Location: Whitney Library, 5175 E Tropicana Ave, Las Vegas, NV 89122.

The February Board meeting will be held on Thursday, February 15, 2023, at 5:00pm. Location: Summerlin Library, 1771 Inner Cir Drive, Las Vegas, NV 89134.

The March Board meeting will be held on Thursday, March 14, 2023, at 5:00pm. Location: Windmill Library, 7060 W Windmill Lane, Las Vegas, NV 89113.

**Public Comment
(Item XI.)**

None.

**Adjournment
(Item XII.)**

Chair Waugh adjourned the meeting at 6:06 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Jennifer Jiron, Secretary

2023 ATTENDANCE

2023		January 19 Regular Board Mtg	February 9 Regular Board Mtg	February 23 Finance and Audit Comm. Mtg	March 9 Regular Board Mtg	April 4 Special Board Mtg	April 12 Finance and Audit Comm. Mtg	April 20 Regular Board Mtg	May 18 Regular Board Mtg	June Nominations Comm. Mtg	June 1 Regular Board Mtg	June 28 Special Board Mtg	July - Finance and Audit Comm. Mtg-	July 13 Risk Management Comm. Mtg	July 13 Regular Board Mtg	AUGUST	September 7 Finance and Audit Comm Mtg	September 14 Regular Board Mtg	October 12 Regular Board Mtg	November 1 Executive Comm. Mtg	November 6 Finance and Audit Comm. Mtg	November 9 Regular Board Mtg	December 14 Regular Board Mtg
Benavidez	Kelly	A-E	P	P	P	P	P	A	P	P	P	P		P	P		A-E	P	A	P	P	A	P
Foyt	Elizabeth	P	P		P	P		P	P	P	P	A-E		P	P		A-E	P	P		A-E	P	P
Meléndrez (Term ended 9/8)	José	P	P		P	P		A	P	P	P	P		P	P								
Ortiz	Felipe	P	P		P	P		P	P	P	P	P			A-E		A	A-E	P		A-E	P	P
Wilson (Term Ended 5-15-2)	Brian	P	P	P	P	P		P	P														
Rogers	Keith	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P		A-E	A-E			P		P	P	P	P	P	P	P
Waugh	Nathaniel	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P		P	P		P	P	P		P	P	P
Jiron	Jennifer	P	P		P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P		P	P		A-E	P	P	P	A	A-E	P
Turner Whiteley	Kate	P	P		P	A		P	P	P	P	A-E			P		P	P	P	P	P	P	P
Sanchez (Term Began 5-15)	Elaine								P		P	P		P			A-E	P	P	P	P	P	P
Dutkowski (Term Began 7/19)	Karen																		P	P	P	P	P
Shelton (Term Began 9/19)	Chris																		P	P		A	P

P	Present
A-E	Excused Absence
A-U	Unexcused Absence
	attended Committee meeting but not a member
X	Term Ended/ New